

**Amendment to the Drawings:**

The attached new sheet contains new Fig. 7. This sheet should be added after the sheet containing current Figure 6.

Attachment: New Sheet containing Figure 7.

### Remarks

This Amendment and Response is being submitted in response to the Office Action dated November 30, 2004. In the Office Action, the examiner objected to the drawings for i) failing to include a reference sign mentioned in the specification, and ii) failing to show the rolling code receiver of the transmitter-receiver system, as specified in the claims. The examiner rejected claims 6 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 4,928,098 issued to Dannhaeuser. The examiner also rejected claims 1, 3-5, 8-11, 13-14, 16-17 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dannhaeuser; over Dannhaeuser in view of Roddy et al.; or over Dannhaeuser in view of Doppelt et al. Claims 2, 7, 12 and 21 were objected to for depending on a rejected base claims, while claim 18 and 19 are allowable. In response, Applicant is amending the specification and the drawings. The Applicant is further amending claims 1, 2, 6, 11, 13, 15 and 20 and deleting claims 2, 7, 12, 21. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-11 and 13-20 remain pending. Reconsideration is respectfully requested in light of these amendments and the following remarks.

#### I. Amendments to the Specification and Drawings

In paragraph 2 of the Office Action, the examiner objected to Fig. 3 for failing to include a reference sign mention in the description. However, the description and not the drawing is in error. As such, Applicant is submitted a replacement amended

paragraph omitting the erroneous reference to block 325. As can be seen from Fig. 3,  $i = 0$  at block 320, not at block 325.

In paragraph 3 of the Office Action, the examiner objected to the drawings as failing to show the rolling code receiver of the transmitter-receiver system, as specified in the claims. In response, Applicant is adding new Figure 7 (attached hereto as a New Sheet) depicting a typical rolling code receiver which may be used in connection with one or more embodiments of the invention. Applicant submits that no new matter is being added.

## II. Amendment to the Claims


Applicant has amending the claims to rewrite claims 2, 7, 12 and 21 in independent form. As such, Applicant respectfully submits that the application is now in condition for allowance. Applicant further submits that the dependent claims are allowable by virtue of depending on allowable base claims.

If there are any questions regarding this submission or the application in general, a telephone call to the undersigned would be appreciated since this should expedite the prosecution of the application for all concerned.

Respectfully submitted,

CROWELL & MORING LLP

Dated: February 25, 2004

By   
Jonathan M. Lindsay  
Reg. No. 45,810  
Tel.: (949) 263-8400 (Pacific Coast)

---

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8A)**


*I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:*

**FACSIMILE**

**MAILING**

☒ deposited with the United States Postal Service  
as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail  
Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents,  
PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

☐ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and  
Trademark Office.

  
Kristen Truong Date 2/25/2004